

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| <p>(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : C12N 15/63, 1/19, C12Q 1/37, C12N 9/50</p> | | <p>(11) International Publication Number: WO 99/11801</p> |
| | | <p>(43) International Publication Date: 11 March 1999 (11.03.99)</p> |
| <p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB98/02596</p> | | <p>(81) Designated States: CA, IL, JP, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).</p> |
| <p>(22) International Filing Date: 28 August 1998 (28.08.98)</p> | | |
| <p>(30) Priority Data: 9718591.2 3 September 1997 (03.09.97) GB</p> | | <p>Published <i>Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.</i></p> |
| <p>(71) Applicant (<i>for all designated States except US</i>): ZENECA LIMITED [GB/GB]; 15 Stanhope Gate, London W1Y 6LN (GB).</p> | | |
| <p>(72) Inventors; and</p> | | |
| <p>(75) Inventors/Applicants (<i>for US only</i>): BROAD, Peter, Michael [GB/GB]; Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 4TG (GB). CHARLES, Andrew, David [GB/GB]; Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 4TG (GB). HOLLIS, Melvyn [GB/GB]; Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 4TG (GB). MacCALLUM, Linda, Jean [GB/GB]; Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 4TG (GB). SCANLON, David, John [GB/GB]; Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 4TG (GB).</p> | | |
| <p>(74) Agent: BROWN, Andrew, Stephen; Zeneca Pharmaceuticals, Intellectual Property Dept., Mereside, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 4TG (GB).</p> | | |
| <p>(54) Title: PROTEASE BASED GENE SWITCHING SYSTEM</p> | | |
| <p>(57) Abstract</p> | | |
| <p>The present invention relates to materials and methods for protease-based gene switching systems, wherein a transcription factor is bound to a membrane via protease cleavage site. It also relates to the use of such materials and methods in the identification of substrates and inhibitors of proteases and in the design of altered specificity proteases.</p> | | |

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

| | | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------|----|---------------------------------------|----|---|----|--------------------------|
| AL | Albania | ES | Spain | LS | Lesotho | SI | Slovenia |
| AM | Armenia | FI | Finland | LT | Lithuania | SK | Slovakia |
| AT | Austria | FR | France | LU | Luxembourg | SN | Senegal |
| AU | Australia | GA | Gabon | LV | Latvia | SZ | Swaziland |
| AZ | Azerbaijan | GB | United Kingdom | MC | Monaco | TD | Chad |
| BA | Bosnia and Herzegovina | GE | Georgia | MD | Republic of Moldova | TG | Togo |
| BB | Barbados | GH | Ghana | MG | Madagascar | TJ | Tajikistan |
| BE | Belgium | GN | Guinea | MK | The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia | TM | Turkmenistan |
| BF | Burkina Faso | GR | Greece | ML | Mali | TR | Turkey |
| BG | Bulgaria | HU | Hungary | MN | Mongolia | TT | Trinidad and Tobago |
| BJ | Benin | IE | Ireland | MR | Mauritania | UA | Ukraine |
| BR | Brazil | IL | Israel | MW | Malawi | UG | Uganda |
| BY | Belarus | IS | Iceland | MX | Mexico | US | United States of America |
| CA | Canada | IT | Italy | NE | Niger | UZ | Uzbekistan |
| CF | Central African Republic | JP | Japan | NL | Netherlands | VN | Viet Nam |
| CG | Congo | KE | Kenya | NO | Norway | YU | Yugoslavia |
| CH | Switzerland | KG | Kyrgyzstan | NZ | New Zealand | ZW | Zimbabwe |
| CI | Côte d'Ivoire | KP | Democratic People's Republic of Korea | PL | Poland | | |
| CM | Cameroon | | | PT | Portugal | | |
| CN | China | KR | Republic of Korea | RO | Romania | | |
| CU | Cuba | KZ | Kazakhstan | RU | Russian Federation | | |
| CZ | Czech Republic | LC | Saint Lucia | SD | Sudan | | |
| DE | Germany | LI | Liechtenstein | SE | Sweden | | |
| DK | Denmark | LK | Sri Lanka | SG | Singapore | | |
| EE | Estonia | LR | Liberia | | | | |

PROTEASE BASED GENE SWITCHING SYSTEM

The present invention relates to materials and methods for protease-based gene switching systems. It also relates to the use of such materials and methods in the 5 identification of substrates and inhibitors of proteases and in the design of altered specificity proteases.

Proteases are involved in both intracellular and extracellular processes. Proteases are also essential in the propagation of pathogenic organisms. For these reasons, proteases are important targets for therapeutic agents. For example, inhibitors of angiotensin-converting 10 enzyme, such as captopril, have been used since the early 1980s in the treatment of hypertension (Materson and Preston, 1994). Amongst infectious agents, the identification of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) protease inhibitors has led to new therapies for HIV infection (Richman, 1996).

Proteases may be useful agents in themselves. Delivery of a gene encoding a protease 15 to a target cell could result in the cleavage and alteration in activity of selected proteins.

Proteases may be used in industrial or pharmaceutical processes to generate mature proteins or degrade undesirable proteins.

In general, two approaches have be taken to the design of assays which may be used to examine the properties of proteases. In the first approach an *in vitro* assay is used. This 20 requires a sample of the protease, isolated from either a natural source, or expressed from the gene encoding the protease in a heterologous system. In the second approach, a recombinant cell is configured so that some easily measurable property of a cell is made dependent upon the activity of a protease expressed within a cell. Such genetic systems for proteases are generally designed by incorporating a cleavage site for the protease into a target protein so 25 that when the protease cleaves the target protein, the function of the target protein is lost. For example, McCall *et al.* (1994) introduced a cleavage site for human rhinovirus protease 3C into a protein conferring tetracycline resistance. The modified protein is active and allows *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) cells to grow in the presence of tetracycline, unless the tetracycline resistance protein is cleaved by the protease. The cells which express active protease will not 30 grow in the presence of tetracycline. Baum *et al.* (1990) introduced a cleavage site for the HIV protease into the coding region of β -galactosidase in such a way that the enzyme retained

activity unless cleaved by the viral protease. Thus β -galactosidase activity is low in a cell containing both the protease and the modified enzyme, but high in a cell which contains only the modified enzyme. Protease cleavage sites have also been introduced into transcription factors so that cleavage of the transcription factor results in the loss of transcriptional

5 activation capacity through separation of the activation domain and DNA binding domain (Smith and Kohorn, 1991; DasMahapatra *et al.*, 1992). An analogous approach has been taken to λ repressor, a prokaryotic gene regulator (Sices and Kristie, 1998). An HIV-1 protease site was inserted between the DNA binding domain and the dimerisation domain. This modified λ repressor is functional, but is non-functional when cleaved by the HIV-1 protease.

10 An alternative approach to the design of genetic systems for proteases is suggested in Hirowatari (1995) which is to use protease cleavage to activate, rather than abolish, a property of the substrate protein by releasing a transcription factor from an inactive membrane-bound precursor. In some natural systems transcription factors are synthesised in an inactive form, where inactivation is due to the association of the transcription factor with a membrane

15 (reviewed by Pahl and Baeuerle, 1996). The transcription factor SREBP-1 activates genes involved in sterol biosynthesis. This protein is naturally synthesised with an amino terminal extension which anchors it to the membrane of the endoplasmic reticulum (Wang *et al.*, 1994). The release of this transcription factor from the membrane is regulated by sterols and requires two proteolytic cleavages within the membrane domain (Sakai *et al.*, 1996). In

20 Hirowatari *et al.* (1995) a chimaeric protein was constructed from two parts, in one part a membrane anchor and a cleavage site for the HCV NS3 protease and in the second part the transcriptional activator (Tax-1) from human T cell leukaemia virus type-1 (HTLV-1). A reporter gene responsive to Tax-1 was therefore able to be activated when the HCV protease and the chimaeric substrate protein were both present in the cell. In both of the above

25 examples the membrane anchor is derived from the same protein as the protease cleavage site.

We have found that it is possible to inactivate a transcription factor by attaching it to a membrane anchoring domain via a protease cleavage site using completely unrelated components. This considerably extends the utility of such systems in that it allows proteases which do not normally cleave proteins with a membrane-bound precursor to be studied. Such 30 systems may be generally described as depicted in Figure 1. We have also found that such systems have wider utility than previously disclosed, such as use as a gene switch, cloning

proteases, changing protease specificity and in gene therapy. The basic function of the system and the ease in which it may be used can be compared with the widely used yeast two-hybrid assay system. In the two-hybrid system two fusion proteins are expressed, preferably in a yeast cell, so that interaction of the two proteins results in activation of a target gene (Fields 5 and Song, 1989). In the system described herein, two proteins are also expressed, a protease and a substrate fusion protein. Cleavage of the substrate fusion protein by the protease results in target gene activation. In both cases the event of interest leads to target gene activation, and in both cases the systems may be configured in yeast cells, which are particularly amenable to genetic manipulation and for use in screening (Sherman, 1991).

10

Therefore in a first aspect of the invention we provide a heterologous cell which comprises:

- (i) a transcription factor precursor which comprises a transcription factor linked to a membrane anchoring domain via a protease cleavage site, in which the membrane 15 anchoring domain and protease cleavage site are not derived from the same protein;
- (ii) a protease which recognises the protease cleavage site in the transcription factor precursor; and
- (iii) a target gene under the control of the transcription factor, wherein if cleavage of the protease cleavage site by the protease is allowed to occur subsequent release of the 20 transcription factor enhances expression of the target gene.

In a cell configured in this way the target gene is not expressed, or is expressed at low levels, when cleavage of the transcription factor precursor is prevented, but when cleavage is allowed to proceed the transcription factor is released and expression of the target gene, or 25 genes, is measurably increased. As will be seen below there are many points within the configured cell at which cleavage of the protease cleavage site may be blocked. For instance the protease cleavage site may be altered, the specificity of the protease may be altered, or a molecule may interfere between the protease and the protease cleavage site. The above system is constructed in such a manner that the effects of any such changes may be directly measured 30 by monitoring expression of the target gene.

- 4 -

A particularly useful membrane localisation domain is the amino terminal region of the enzyme hydroxymethylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase (HMG-CoA reductase). HMG-CoA reductase from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (*S.cerevisiae*) has an amino terminal region with seven transmembrane helices (Basson *et al.*, 1988). Other proteins which contain 5 different numbers of transmembrane helices, such as members of the HMG-CoA reductase family from other organisms (Hampton *et al.*, 1996) could also be used, provided that the fused transcription factor is exposed to the cytosolic or nuclear compartment so that the released transcription factor does not need to be translocated across a cellular membrane to reach the target gene. As an alternative to peptide membrane anchors, lipid membrane 10 anchors may be used. For example, peptide sequences which are substrates for myristoylation (Pellman *et al.*, 1985) or farnesylation and farnesylation-dependent modification (Hancock *et al.*, 1991) may be used in place of transmembrane peptide domains.

The transcription factor can be a natural transcription factor, a chimaeric factor containing functional domains from different proteins, for example a DNA binding domain 15 linked to an activation domain, or it may contain synthetic domains. DNA binding domains which may be used include those of the *S. cerevisiae* factor Gal4 (Keegan *et al.*, 1986), the *E. coli* protein LexA (Brent and Ptashne, 1981) and a variety of other proteins such as those listed by Harrison (1991). Transcriptional activation domains include naturally-occurring domains, such as the activation domain of herpes simplex virus VP16 protein (Triezenberg *et 20 al.*, 1988) and the activation domains of Gal4 (Ma and Ptashne, 1987a) as well as acidic domains generated from semi-random sequence libraries (Ma and Ptashne, 1987b). Other activation domains have been listed by Triezenberg (1995). The transcription factor does not need to bind directly to DNA but may instead bind to proteins which do bind to DNA. The transcription factors, or proteins to which the transcription factors bind, will bind to 25 promoter/enhancer sequences upstream from the target gene. Such sequences are well known in the literature and are described in the above references.

The cell types within which such a system may be configured include: prokaryotic cells (*E. coli*); eukaryotic cells such as those of the model organisms budding yeast (*S. cerevisiae*), fission yeast (*Schizosaccharomyces pombe*), the fruit fly (*Drosophila melanogaster*), the nematode worm (*Caenorhabditis elegans*) and the plant *Arabidopsis*

thaliana; maize (*Zea mays*); and mammalian cells such as primary human cells, established human cell lines, primary mouse cells and established mouse cell lines.

The target gene used to measure the output of the system may be one which produces an easily measurable gene product (a target or reporter gene) such as *E. coli* β -galactosidase 5 (Casadaban *et al.* 1983), firefly luciferase (de Wet *et al.*, 1987), *E. coli* chloramphenicol acetyl transferase (Gorman *et al.*, 1982), *E. coli* β -lactamase (Zlokarnik *et al.*, 1998) or green fluorescent protein (Chalfie *et al.*, 1994). In an alternative aspect of the invention the target gene may be a toxic gene, and activation of this gene through the action of the protease will result in cell death.

10 Rather than the target gene being used as a measure of the success in whether the protease has cleaved the substrate fusion protein the target gene may be a useful gene which requires regulation. In this aspect of the invention we may describe the target gene as being under the control of a protease-dependent gene switch. In general a gene switch is a system in which a gene of interest is turned off or is largely inactive, or alternatively is turned on, in one 15 state, but may be switched on, or off, by some alteration in the cell. One type of gene switch employs small molecules to effect the switch, for example, tetracycline-regulatable gene switches have been described in mammalian cells (see Shockett and Schatz, 1996). In these systems, the addition of tetracycline can either activate or repress a gene, depending on how the system is configured. We describe here a different type of gene switch in which the 20 switching event is effected by a protease. The advantage of this system is the high degree of control which may be obtained; in the absence of protease or where the activity of the protease is blocked, the target gene can be effectively completely inactive. In the presence of the protease, or absence of an inhibitor, it can be activated to a high level. Control of the switch may be exerted in the expression or the activity of the protease, for example in the use of a 25 modulator (inhibitor or activator) of the protease, or a modulator of the level of expression of the protease. In the latter case it would be possible, for example, to place the gene encoding the protease under the control of a tetracycline-regulatable promoter and achieve protease regulation through tetracycline. This may be superior to regulating the target gene with tetracycline itself, since the insertion of the protease system between the tetracycline- 30 regulatable promoter and the target gene introduces an amplification step.

- 6 -

Therefore in a further aspect of the invention we provide a gene switch mechanism comprising:

- (i) a transcription factor linked to a membrane anchoring domain via a protease cleavage site;
- 5 (ii) a protease which recognises the protease cleavage site in the transcription factor precursor; and
- (iii) a gene placed under the control of the transcription factor, whereby enhanced expression of the gene occurs after cleavage of the protease cleavage site by the protease and thereby expression of the gene may be modulated by directly or indirectly 10 affecting the activity or expression of the protease.

A further aspect of the invention relates to the possibility of identifying peptide substrates for a protease using a cell configured in accordance with the invention. Identifying the peptide substrates for proteases is useful in that (i) they provide information which may 15 lead to the discovery of the substrate protein, thus elucidating the biological function of the protease (ii) they provide substrates which can be used in *in vitro* assays to screen for inhibitors of the proteases (iii) derivatives of the substrates may in themselves be inhibitors of the proteases.

With the complete sequencing of several microbial genomes (see Doolittle, 1997), the 20 complete sequencing of a model eukaryote (the budding yeast *S. cerevisiae*: Goffeau *et al.*, 1996) and the ongoing sequencing of expressed sequences and genomic sequences from the human genome, a number of open reading frames have been identified which, on the basis of homology searches, are likely to be proteases. The biological functions of these proteases cannot be understood until the substrates upon which they act are identified. One example of 25 such a family of protease is the metalloproteinase-disintegrin family. The family currently contains more than 20 members (Blobel, 1997). This family includes the enzyme TNF α -converting enzyme, considered to be a target for therapeutic agents in inflammation (Black *et al.*, 1997; Moss *et al.*, 1997). However, the substrate proteins of most members of this family remain unknown (Blobel, 1997).

30 One route to the identification of peptide substrates is to select from a library of potential substrates the sequences which are substrates for the protease. This allows the

definition of a consensus sequence for the cleavage site for the protease. Using bioinformatic search tools, databases of protein sequences can then be searched for sequences which correspond to this consensus. The existence of the consensus sequence within a protein will indicate that the protein is a potential substrate for the protease. Further evidence can then be 5 used to assess the likelihood that the protein identified is a substrate for the protease; for example whether they are expressed temporally and spatially in a way that would allow the protease to act upon the substrate. Identification of putative substrates for proteases can be an important step in assigning function to these proteases. In drug discovery, the assignment of function to genes is critical in determining whether these genes are likely to play a role in a 10 given disease process. If a protease is selected as a target for inhibition in a disease process, it will be necessary to devise an *in vitro* assay for the enzymatic activity of this protease. Information relating to consensus sequences can be used to synthesise such peptides.

Methods for determining the cleavage sites of proteases by selecting substrates from peptide libraries currently rely upon *in vitro* techniques. One such method employs phage 15 display of peptide libraries (Matthews and Wells, 1993). A library of peptides is constructed and expressed on the surface of bacteriophages so that if cleavage occurs at one of these sequences then they are not retained upon an affinity column. Determination of the DNA sequence of the gene encoding the peptides which are not retained on the column allows deduction of the cleavage sites for proteases. This method was employed in the analysis of 20 the specificity of stromelysin and matrilysin (Smith *et al.*, 1995). A second approach uses the activity of proteases against mixtures of substrates to derive information about protease cleavage sites (see for example Berman *et al.*, 1992; Petithory *et al.*, 1991). A version of this approach, positional scanning of synthetic combinatorial libraries (Pinilla *et al.*, 1992), was applied to the interleukin-1 β converting enzyme to reveal a novel consensus sequence (Rano 25 *et al.*, 1997). An aldehyde derivative of this novel consensus sequence was a potent inhibitor of the enzyme. Subsequently the same approach has been applied to members of the Caspase family (Thornberry *et al.*, 1997), allowing the deduction of consensus cleavage sites for members of this family and some conclusions to be drawn about the biological role of these proteases.

30 Both of the methods described above are performed *in vitro* and require samples of purified protease. In addition, the second method is not easily applicable to protease

substrates which are more than four amino acids long, since the complexity of the mixtures employed becomes too great. The heterologous cells described in this invention can be used to determine the sequence specificity of the protease. Instead of providing a transcription factor precursor with a known substrate for the protease, a library of such precursors is 5 constructed such that each precursor contains a different sequence between the membrane anchor and the transcription factor. This library is then introduced into cells which contain the protease and the target gene. Cells in which the target gene becomes activated will therefore have expressed a precursor which contains a cleavage site for the protease. Upon recovery of the gene encoding the precursor from these cells, the sequence of the cleavage site 10 may be deduced from the sequence of the DNA which encodes it. Combination of the results of several such screens will allow a consensus sequence to be deduced. In comparison to the methods described above, this system is an intracellular system, so that no purification or expression of any protease is required; only a DNA sequence encoding the protease is required. This allows an assessment of the activity of a protease in a cellular environment.

15 Therefore in a further aspect of the invention we provide a method for identifying a substrate peptide sequence of a protease, which method comprises:

- (i) creating a number of differing gene constructs which code for a transcription factor precursor, which comprises a transcription factor linked to a membrane anchoring domain via a putative protease cleavage site wherein different putative protease cleavage sites are coded within the different gene constructs;
- (ii) introducing the gene constructs into cells which contain the protease and a target gene under the control of the transcription factor; and
- (iii) in each cell detecting whether the protease has cleaved the putative protease cleavage site to release the transcription factor by measuring expression of the target gene.

30 Where the sequence of the putative protease cleavage site introduced into each cell is not known, for example where the sequences are generated by random mutagenesis or a

peptide library is generated by combinatorial techniques, then the following additional steps are required in order to elucidate the sequence.

5 (iv) recovering the gene construct from cells with measurably increased levels of target gene expression; and

(v) determining the sequence of each protease cleavage site recovered.

A further aspect of the invention relates to methods for altering the specificity of 10 proteases. Many proteases display specificity for the amino acid sequence surrounding the peptide bond which they cleave. If this sequence specificity could be altered then it might be possible to design proteases which would cleave target proteins at desired sites. Such reagents could be useful therapeutic agents. For example they could be used to cleave viral proteins and so prevent a viral infection. Attempts to alter protease specificity have had some limited 15 success. In general, two approaches have been taken to the alteration of the substrate specificity of proteases (Leis and Cameron, 1994). In the first approach, the three dimensional structure of the protease is known and is used to predict the effects that amino acid side chain alterations will have upon substrate recognition. This rational approach to specificity alteration was first used to show that changes to side chains at the active site of 20 trypsin altered the substrate preferences of this enzyme (Craik *et al.*, 1985). A successful alteration of specificity was achieved by Khouri *et al.* (1991), who replaced two amino acids from papain with corresponding residues from cathepsin B and were effectively able to change the specificity of the enzyme from that of papain to that of cathepsin B. In contrast, however, when residue changes in chymotrypsin, predicted, on the basis of structural analysis, 25 to alter the specificity to that of trypsin, were made, no such change was seen (Venekei *et al.*, 1996). Evidently, residues other than those which directly contact the substrate are important in determining the specificity of some proteases. Since rational alteration of protease specificity has enjoyed only limited success, the second approach, that of random or semi-random mutagenesis coupled with genetic selection techniques, has been pursued in other 30 cases. Mutants of a *Streptomyces* protease (Sidhu and Borgford, 1996) and *Lysobacter* alpha-lytic hydrolase (Graham *et al.*, 1993) with some degree of altered specificity have been

- 10 -

obtained using methods in which proteases are secreted from bacterial colonies and the formation of clear plaques around a colony is measured.

The effect on the specificity of a protease following modification of the primary peptide sequence may be measured using the cells described in this invention. Imagine that a 5 protease will cleave sequence 1, but not sequence 2. Sequence 1 may be introduced into a precursor protein to create precursor 1. In cells which contain precursor 1 and the protease, the target gene is activated. Sequence 2 may be introduced into the precursor protein to create precursor 2. In cells which contain precursor 2 and the protease, the target gene is not expressed. The gene encoding the protease is then subjected to some form of mutagenesis and 10 the mutated protease genes are introduced into cells containing precursor 2 and the target gene. In those cells where the target gene is activated, the protease is now capable of cleaving precursor 2. The genes encoding the proteases may be recovered from these cells and the sequence of the new proteases deduced from the DNA sequences of the genes. New proteases obtained in this manner may be able to recognise sequence 2 but not sequence 1, in which 15 case we may speak of the specificity of the proteases having been altered. Alternatively they may be able to cleave both sequence 1 and 2, in which case we may describe their specificity as having been relaxed.

The reverse procedure may also be carried out if it is desirable to obtain a protease which does not recognise a certain site. A sequence which the protease does cleave is 20 introduced into the precursor protein. In the presence of the protease, the target gene is activated. The gene encoding the protease is then subjected to some form of mutagenesis and the mutated protease genes are introduced into the cell containing the precursor and the target gene. In those cells where the target gene is not activated or displays reduced activation, the protease is now either incapable of cleaving the precursor protein, or has a reduced ability to 25 cleave respectively. The genes encoding the proteases may be recovered from these cells and the sequence of the new protease deduced from the DNA sequence of the gene. In this way it may be possible to "restrict" the specificity of a protease. If a protease recognises several substrates (i.e. has a broad substrate range) it may be possible to restrict the specificity of the protease so that it only recognises one substrate. This is useful in designing therapeutics that 30 will have few side effects.

- 11 -

Therefore in a further aspect of the invention we provide a method for altering the specificity of a protease which method comprises:

5 (i) creating a protease gene constructs with a different coding variation from the wild type protease peptide sequence;

10 (ii) introducing the protease gene construct into a cell containing a target gene under the control of a transcription factor and a transcription factor precursor which comprises the transcription factor linked to a membrane anchoring domain via a protease cleavage site; and

15 (iii) detecting in each cell whether the altered protease has cleaved the protease cleavage site to release the transcription factor by measuring expression of the target gene.

20 Where the sequence of the protease introduced into each cell is not known, for example where the sequences are generated by random mutagenesis or a peptide library is generated by combinatorial techniques or gene shuffling techniques are used, then the following additional steps are required in order to elucidate the sequence.

25 (iv) recovering the protease gene from the cells with measurably increased levels of target gene expression; and

(v) determining the sequence of the recovered protease genes.

Further aspects of the invention comprise the individual constructs, plasmids and yeast strains disclosed in the accompanying Tables, Examples and Figures.

For all of the above aspects of the invention it is preferred that the protease cleavage site and the membrane binding domain are derived from different proteins.

30 The invention will now be illustrated but not limited by reference to the following Tables, Examples and Figures wherein:

- 12 -

Table 1 lists the plasmids used in Example 1. The first column gives the plasmid reference number. The second column ("Marker") indicates which selectable marker the plasmids contain. The third and fourth column describe the expression cassette which is located within each plasmid. The third column gives the promoter region which is used 5 (either *ACT1* (actin gene promoter) or *ADH1* (alcohol dehydrogenase gene promoter) from *S. cerevisiae*). The fourth column describes the coding region which is under the control of the promoter. The following conventions are used: "Gal" is the DNA binding domain of Gal4; "mVP" is an activation domain; "HMG" is the amino terminal region of the Hmg1 protein; "tev" is the wild type cleavage site for TEV protease; "TEV protease" comprises a methionine 10 fused to amino acids 2051-2279 of the tobacco etch virus polyprotein.

Table 2 displays the activity of the *lacZ* reporter gene in the *S. cerevisiae* strain NLY2::185 transformed with different combinations of plasmids (see Example 1). The first column indicates the number of the transformation. The second column indicates which 15 proteins are being expressed within the cells. The third column indicates which plasmids have been transformed into the NLY2::185 cells. The fourth column indicates the supplements omitted from the medium (thus UHL- indicates that uracil, histidine and leucine were omitted). The final two columns indicate the reporter gene activity as scored by the blue colour on X-Gal indicator plates (fifth column) and as measured in liquid culture in mOD/min 20 per OD of culture (sixth column). For transformations which displayed a low reporter gene activity (1, 4, 5, 6 and strain alone) six colonies were grown to mid-log phase and assayed. For the three transformations which displayed a high reporter gene activity (2, 3, and 7) fifteen colonies were grown to mid-log phase and assayed. For each set of reporter gene measurements the average and standard deviation are shown.

25

Table 3 shows the results of an alanine scan performed on the TEV protease cleavage site (see Example 2). Plasmids encoding the wild type and variant TEV protease cleavage site were cotransformed into NLY2::185 with a plasmid encoding the TEV protease (LDD208) and selected on UHL- media. Cleavage of the wild type site occurs between the Q and S 30 residues of the sequence ENLYFQS. Q is designated position -1 and S as position +1. Changes are denoted in the following way: x(y)z, where x is the parental amino acid, y is the

position of this amino acid in the cleavage site, and z is the residue to which it has been changed. Thus Q(-1)A denotes that the Q at position -1 is changed to A. All plasmids are derivatives of LDD882 (Table 1) and thus contain the *LEU2* marker and the *ACT1* promoter. The first column indicates the transformation number. The second column gives the plasmid 5 numbers and the third column the precursor proteins which are expressed from these plasmids. The fourth column displays the sequence of the cleavage site with the alanine marked. The fifth column shows the activity of the *lacZ* reporter gene as scored used indicator plates. The sixth column shows the activity of the reporter gene as measured using a liquid assay. For each member of the alanine scan, approximately 20 colonies were taken in a 10 single loop. The resulting culture was assayed three times; the final column shows the mean and standard deviation of these measurements.

Table 4 shows different ways in which the specificity profile of a protease can be changed. The "profile" of the parental protease may be characterised against a variety of related cleavage sites. For example the parental protease may be capable of cleaving a wild 15 type cleavage site and variant 1, but not variant 2 (column 2); this profile of activity is the "wild type" profile. If a derivative of the protease retains the ability to cleave at the wild type site and variant 1 but can also cleave variant 2, then the specificity of the protease in this derivative is "relaxed" (column 3). Conversely, if a derivative of the protease can cleave at the wild type site but cannot cleave either variant 1 or 2, then the specificity of the protease in 20 this derivative is "restricted" (column 4). Protease derivatives may also be obtained which have lost the ability to cleave the wild type cleavage site but have gained the ability to cleave at a site not recognised by the parental protease (variant 2). In this case the specificity of the protease has been "altered" (column 4).

25 Table 5 displays the effects of deletions of the TEV protease upon the specificity of the protease. Plasmids encoding proteases were transformed with the cleavage site variants indicated in the first column. The results of the transformation were scored on X-Gal indicator plates and were also measured using the liquid β -galactosidase assay. For each transformation, approximately 20 colonies were taken in a single loop. The resulting culture 30 was assayed three times; the final column shows the mean and standard deviation of these measurements.

- 14 -

Figure 1 is a diagram illustrating the design of a protease-dependent gene switch. The cell on the left contains: a membrane (1); a transcription factor fusion (2-5) comprising a membrane anchoring domain (2), a protease cleavage site (3), a DNA binding domain (4) and a transcription activation domain (5); and a target gene comprising a promoter containing one 5 or more binding sites for the DNA binding domain (6) and the transcribed region of the gene (7). In this cell the target gene is switched off since the transcription factor is membrane anchored and is unable to activate transcription. In the cell on the right a protease (8) which can act at the protease cleavage site has been expressed. Cleavage of the transcription factor fusion results in release of a transcription factor which can bind the promoter of the target 10 gene and activate target gene expression (indicated by the arrow).

Figure 2 shows the structures of the transcription activator fusions used in Example 1 (see also Table 1). Plasmid LDD883 encodes the activator Gal-mVP. The coding region comprises a methionine linked to amino acids 2-147 of *S. cerevisiae* Gal4 (the DNA binding 15 region of Gal4 (abbreviated to "Gal" here) linked to a 28 amino acid peptide which contains two repeats of the sequence LDDFDLDMLG. This 28 amino acid region is the activation region referred to as minimal VP16 (abbreviated to "mVP"). The fusion of the Gal4 DNA binding domain to the activation domain is illustrated in the box at the bottom of the figure and is referred to as "Activator" in the other portions of this figure. LDD1123 encodes the 20 protein tev-Gal-mVP, which comprises a peptide containing a TEV protease cleavage site (abbreviated to "tev") fused to the amino terminus of Gal-mVP. The TEV protease cleavage site is underlined. Plasmid LDD1117 encodes the protein HMG-Gal-mVP, which comprises the amino terminal region of the *S. cerevisiae* Hmg1 protein (abbreviated to "HMG") fused, via a 9 amino acid linker, to the amino terminus of Gal-mVP. The plasmid LDD882 encodes 25 the activator HMG-tev-Gal-mVP, which is identical to HMG-tev-Gal-mVP except for the addition of a TEV protease cleavage site (underlined) to the linker region separating HMG and Gal-mVP.

Figure 3 shows the structures of the tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease and the 30 deletions of this protease used in Examples 1-3. The numbers refer to the sequence of the polyprotein encoded by the tobacco etch virus. The protease referred to as "full length" in this

work comprises a methionine fused to amino acids 2051-2079 and is encoded by plasmid LDD208. LDD855 encodes a version of TEV protease in which the C-terminal deletion 16 amino acids are deleted. This deletion is referred to as TEV protease[Δ C-16]. LDD859 encodes a version of TEV protease in which a region of 10 amino acids has been deleted.

5 This deletion is referred to as TEV protease [Δ I-10]

Example 1: a protease-dependent gene switch

Introduction

10

In this Example we describe the configuration of a gene switch as depicted in Figure 1. As a model protease we have used the tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease. (Carrington and Dougherty, 1987). This plant viral protease was selected because it possesses the following features:

15

(1) Both the protease and its substrate are well defined. The protease has a molecular weight of 49kdal and is encoded by an open reading frame of 430 amino acids. However, only the amino terminal 229 residues are required for activity of the protease (Dougherty and Carrington, 1988). The protease cleaves the viral polyprotein at several positions. By

20 analysis of these cleavage sites a consensus recognition site for the protease was identified as E-x-hy-Y-x-Q-S/G (Carrington and Dougherty, 1988; single letter code: x denotes a nonconserved residue, hy indicates a hydrophobic residue), where cleavage occurs between the glutamine residue and the serine/glycine residue. The importance of the conserved positions in the consensus sequence is supported by a series of experiments in which
25 mutagenesis of the cleavage site was combined with *in vitro* protease assays (Dougherty *et al.*, 1988).

(2) The cleavage site will be recognised and cleaved by the protease when introduced into foreign proteins. TEV cleavage sites have been placed into transcription factors (Smith and
30 Kohorn, 1991; DasMahapatra *et al.*, 1992) and into the *E. coli* SecA protein (Mondigler and Ehrmann, 1996).

- 16 -

(3) TEV protease does not appear to require activation from an inactive form, but rather is constitutively active. Therefore, no other components other than a gene encoding the protease open reading frame needs be introduced into a cell in order to express an active TEV protease.

(4) Despite being constitutively active, TEV protease is not toxic when expressed in yeast

5 cells (*S. cerevisiae*; Smith and Kohorn, 1991; DasMahapatra *et al.*, 1992) and in bacterial cells (*E. coli*: Marcos and Beachy, 1994; Mondigler and Ehrmann, 1996).

As a substrate for TEV protease we designed the following transcription factor precursor (as illustrated in Figure 1). As a membrane localisation domain, we selected the amino terminal region of the *S. cerevisiae* HMG-CoA reductase enzyme (Hmg1p). The 10 amino terminal region of this protein (amino acids 1-526) contains seven transmembrane spanning regions and is inserted into the membrane of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) so that the carboxy terminus is exposed to the cytoplasmic face the ER (Basson *et al.*, 1988; Senstag *et al.*, 1990). A fusion of the green fluorescent protein to this region was concentrated in the perinuclear region (Hampton *et al.*, 1996b), suggesting that fusion protein located at the 15 carboxy terminus may project into the nuclear compartment. We made fusions at the carboxy terminus of the first 526 amino acids of Hmg1p. We would therefore expect the heterologous domains fused to the Hmg1p amino terminal region to be located immediately next to the ER membrane, on the cytoplasmic, and possibly nuclear, face of this membrane.

The transcription factor precursor comprises the HMG1p amino terminal domain 20 linked to a consensus cleavage site for TEV protease which in turn is linked to a transcription factor consisting of a DNA binding domain fused to a transcription activation domain. The DNA binding domain is derived from the *S. cerevisiae* protein Gal4 (Keegan *et al.*, 1986) and the activation domain is based on the herpes simplex virus VP16 transcription activation domain (Triezenberg *et al.*, 1988). As a host cell we used the budding yeast *S. cerevisiae*.

25 As a target gene for the transcription factor fusion we employed the *E. coli* lacZ gene, which has been used in a number of studies to measure the strength of Gal4-based transcription factors (for example Ma *et al.* 1987a;1987b).

MethodsYeast strains

5 The experiments used in this study use the *S. cerevisiae* strain NLY2::185. To construct this strain, the reporter plasmid JP185 (J. Pearlberg Ph. D. thesis, Harvard University, 1994) was cleaved within the *URA3* gene and integrated into NLY2 (Lehming *et al.*, 1994: α , *ura3-52*, *his3-Δ200*, *leu2-3,112*, *lys2-Δ(683-3543)*, *trp1*, *ade2-101*, *gal4-542*, *gal80-538*, obtained from Norbert Lehming, Harvard University) at the *ura3-52* locus, 10 reconstituting a functional *URA3* gene.

Plasmids

Plasmids in this and the following examples were constructed using fragments of 15 existing plasmids, fragments obtained from other plasmids by the polymerase chain reaction and synthesised oligonucleotides. These were linked together by standard techniques (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989). For each plasmid we describe the structure of the final plasmid, rather than describing the steps by which the plasmid was made. It will be apparent to the person of ordinary skill that there are many possible steps by which the plasmids we described 20 could be constructed. The structures of the plasmids are described by reference to sequences in public databases. Sequences which link these fragments are derived from synthesised oligonucleotides and we provide sequences of the linking region. (Note that since the oligonucleotides used to incorporate such linking regions may have been synthesised with additional sequences which were removed, perhaps by restriction enzyme digestion, during 25 construction of the final plasmid, the linking sequences do not necessarily correspond to the oligonucleotides which would have been synthesised.)

LDD208 is a plasmid from which a truncated version of the tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease (part of the *Nla* gene) is expressed under the control of the *S. cerevisiae ADH1* promoter. The 30 backbone of this vector is the plasmid RS313 (Sikorski and Hieter, 1989). Between the *Sac I* and *Kpn I* restriction enzyme sites in the polylinker of this vector we have placed an

- 18 -

expression cassette comprising the promoter of the *S. cerevisiae ADH1* gene, the coding region of TEV protease and the transcription termination region region of the *S. cerevisiae ADH1* gene. The *ADH1* promoter sequence consists of a 1.4kb *Bam HI-Hind III* fragment from the plasmid pADNS (Colicelli *et al.*, 1989). The *Hind III* site is followed immediately 5 by the linker:

GC GGCCGCCACCATG

This linker introduces a translation initiation codon. This codon is linked in frame to a 10 sequence which encodes the active portion of the TEV protease. This sequence corresponds to nucleotides 6295-6981 of Genbank entry g335201 (Allison *et al.*, 1986). This encodes amino acids 2051-2279 of the tobacco etch virus polyprotein precursor, or amino acids 202-430 of the predicted 430 amino acid Nla protein released by proteolysis from the polyprotein precursor. This sequence is followed by a linker which incorporates an in-frame 15 stop codon and an *Eco RI* site:

TAAGAATTG

Following the *Eco RI* site is a sequence which contains the transcriptional termination 20 region of the *S. cerevisiae ADH1* gene. This fragment is the 0.6kb *Eco RI-Bam HI* fragment from the plasmid pADNS (Colicelli *et al.*, 1989). The protein encoded by LDD208 is illustrated in Figure 3.

LDD882 is a plasmid from which the following fusion protein is expressed: a seven 25 transmembrane spanning region of *S. cerevisiae* HMG-CoA reductase linked to a cleavage site for TEV protease linked to the DNA binding domain of the *S. cerevisiae* Gal4 protein linked to a synthetic transcription activation domain based upon the herpes simplex virus VP16 activation domain. The backbone of this vector is the plasmid RS315 (Sikorski and Hieter, 1989). Between the *Sac I* and *Kpn I* restriction enzyme sites in the polylinker of this 30 vector we have placed an expression cassette comprising the promoter of the *S. cerevisiae ACT1* gene, the coding region of the fusion protein outlined above and the transcription

- 19 -

termination region region of the *S. cerevisiae ADH1* gene. The promoter region of *ACT1* consists of a 0.65kb DNA fragment obtained by polymerase chain reaction from *S. cerevisiae* genomic DNA. The fragment corresponds to nucleotides 8-671 of Genbank entry g170985 and is flanked at the 5' end by a *Sac I* site and at the 3' end by a *Hind III* site. Following the 5 *Hind III* site is a region of the *HMG1* gene from *S. cerevisiae* which encodes amino acids 1-526 of *S. cerevisiae* HMG-CoA reductase (Basson *et al.*, 1988). This sequence corresponds to nucleotides 112-1698 of Genbank entry g171685. Following this is the linker:

CTGCAGACTAGTACTGAAAATTGTACTTCCAATCTGGTACCCATGGT

10

This linker encodes the peptide LQTSTENLYFQSGTHG. The sequence ENLYFQS is a cleavage site for the TEV protease (Dougherty *et al.*, 1988). This sequence is followed by a sequence encoding the DNA binding domain (amino acids 2-147) of *S. cerevisiae* Gal4. The sequence corresponds to nucleotides 427-864 of Genbank entry g171557 (Laughon and 15 Gesteland 1984). Following this sequence is the linker:

CCCCGGGTCGAGTTGGATGACTTCGACTTAGATATGTTGGGTTGGATGACTTCG
ACTTAGATATGTTGGGTGTCGACACTAGTTAACTAGCGGCCGC

20

This linker encodes the peptide PRVELDDFDLMLGLDDFDLMLGVDTS. This protein fragment contains two copies of a peptide (LDDFDLMLG) based on the amino acid sequence of part of the activation region of the herpes simplex virus VP16 protein (amino acids 440-449 of the protein encoded by Genbank entry g330318). Following this peptide is a stop codon and a *Not I* site. The *Not I* site is linked to a DNA fragment which includes the 25 transcription terminator region of the *S. cerevisiae ADH1* gene. This fragment is the 0.6kb *Not I-Bam HI* from the plasmid pADNS (Colicelli *et al.*, 1989).

LDD1117 encodes a plasmid which is identical to LDD882, except that the linker region between the *Hmg1* fragment and the *Gal4* fragment is the sequence:

30

CTGCAGACTAGTACTGGTACCCATGGT

- 20 -

This encodes the amino acid sequence LQTSTGTHG.

LDD883 is a plasmid from which a fusion protein comprising the DNA binding domain of Gal4 (amino acids 1-147) fused to the minimal activation domain based upon VP16 is 5 expressed under the control of the *S. cerevisiae ACT1* promoter. This plasmid is similar to LDD882 except that the sequences which encode the HMG-CoA reductase region and the TEV protease cleavage site are replaced by a sequence which supplies an initiation codon for Gal4:

10 GAAGCAAGCCTCCTGAAAGATG

LDD1123 is a plasmid from which a fusion protein comprising a TEV protease cleavage site linked to the DNA binding domain of Gal4 and the minimal activation domain based on VP16 is expressed. This plasmid is similar to LDD882 except that the sequences which encode the 15 HMG-CoA reductase is replaced by an initiation codon.

Yeast methods

Yeast was manipulated according to standard protocols (Sherman, 1991; Ausabel *et al.*, 1993). Yeast cultures were grown in synthetic complete media lacking the appropriate nutrients to allow for selection of transformed plasmids. This media was supplemented with 2% (w/v) glucose as a carbon source. Plasmids were transformed in yeast using the lithium acetate procedure (Ito *et al.* 1983). 3 days after transformation, colonies were transferred to X-Gal indicator plates (Ausabel *et al.*, 1993), and 24 hours later were scored for the degree of 25 blue colour. Liquid β -galactosidase assays were performed in microtitre plates using a modification of the method of Dixon *et al.* (1997). A final concentration of 5mM substrate (chlorophenol red galactopyranoside (CPRG) -approximately 10xKm) was used in the reaction. Single colonies or a loop of approximately 20 colonies was inoculated into 10ml miminal medium plus supplements. This culture was grown for approximately 40 hours. The 30 cultures were diluted back to an OD600 of 0.1. 120 μ l of each culture was transferred to wells of a Costar 96-well microtitre plate. 30 μ l of a 5x reaction cocktail was added and an initial

- 21 -

absorbance at 570nm read. The initial rate of reaction (production of colour at 570nm) was then measured over a ten minute period after addition of substrate.

A unit is defined as the rate of production of CPRG (mOD570/min) divided by the optical density of the culture in the microtitre plate. A culture with an optical density of 0.1 at 5 600nm (measured in spectrophotometer with a 1cm path length) has an optical density of 0.0116 in Costar 96-well microtitre plates in a Molecular Devices platereader. Since the culture is diluted by a factor of 1.25 by the addition of reaction cocktail, units are calculated as:

10 Units = (rate of OD570 change) x 1.25/0.0166

Results and Discussion

Structure of a chimaeric transcription factor

15

The components of the chimaeric transcription factor constructed have been previously described, with the exception of the transcriptional activation domain. The activation domain of the herpes simplex virus VP16 protein is acidic (Treizenberg *et al.*, 1988) and very potent (Sadowski *et al.*, 1988). The negative charges provided by the acidic 20 residues are required for the transcription function (Cress and Triezenberg, 1991), as is a critical phenylalanine residue (F442: Regier *et al.*, 1993). A property of the VP16 activation domain, and other strong acidic activation domains, such as that from RelA (Blair *et al.*, 1994) is the ability of small regions from the activation domain to provide strong activation domains when multimerised. Seipel *et al.* (1992) showed that an 11 amino acid sequence 25 from the VP16 activation domain (amino acids 437-447: DALDDFDLDM) including the critical phenylalanine residue, though weak activator by itself, is as strong as native VP16 as a tandem dimer. This experiment was performed in mammalian cells. We find that an overlapping 10 amino acid stretch derived from this region of VP16 (amino acids 439-448: LDDFDLDMLG), is a weak activator in the yeast *S. cerevisiae*, but when dimerised is a very 30 strong activator in both *S. cerevisiae* and mammalian cells (unpublished results). The dimeric

form of this peptide (two tandem copies of amino acids 439-448) is the activator we use in the experiments described here. We refer to this activator as minimal VP16 (mVP).

Construction of a genetic system for TEV protease

5

To demonstrate that we could configure a cell in which reporter gene activity is dependent upon both the presence of a protease and the presence of a cleavage site for the protease, we performed the experiment summarised in Table 2. The plasmids used in this experiment are summarised in Table 1, and the structures of the proteins expressed from these 10 plasmids are illustrated in Figures 2 and 3. The experiment consists of the transformation of expression plasmids into the reporter strain NLY2::185. This strain contains a reporter gene (*E. coli* β -galactosidase) which is under the control of two binding sites for Gal4. Since NLY2::185 contains no endogenous Gal4, the reporter gene activity reflects the presence of Gal4-based transcription factors expressed from plasmids. We transformed plasmids into 15 NLY2::185 and measured reporter gene activity by assessing the extent of blue colour of colonies after 24 hours on indicator plates (scored from 0 for no blue colour to 4 for very blue) and by measuring reporter gene activity in liquid culture. For reporter gene assays we measured the enzyme activity in cultures grown from six different colonies for transformations which displayed low reporter gene activity, or fifteen different colonies for 20 transformations which displayed a high reporter gene activity.

In the host strain NLY2::185 (i.e. in the absence of Gal4-based transcription factors) the reporter gene is essentially inactive (see Table 1). A set of transformations were performed using plasmids containing transcription activators based on Gal-mVP. In discussing the results obtained below we make the assumption that the activator proteins are 25 expressed to similar levels. This assumption seems reasonable since it is known that both Hmg1 and Gal4 are relatively stable, and all the activator proteins were expressed from the same promoter (*ACT1*). Gal-mVP is able to activate the reporter gene to a very high level (13061 units: transformation 2). Fusion of the cleavage site for TEV protease to the amino terminus of Gal-mVP to create tev-Gal-mVP had little effect on the ability of Gal-mVP to 30 activate transcription (10431 units, transformation 3), showing that the TEV protease cleavage site does not, by itself, have any inhibitory effect on Gal-mVP. By contrast, fusion of the

amino terminal domain of HMG-CoA reductase to Gal-mVP had a dramatic effect, completely inhibiting the ability of Gal-mVP to activate the reporter gene. This was observed with a protein that contained a TEV protease cleavage site (HMG-tev-Gal-mVP: 11 units-transformation 5) and with a protein which did not contain a TEV protease cleavage site 5 (HMG-Gal-mVP: 5 units - transformation 4). Thus the membrane-spanning portion of HMG-CoA reductase is very effective in the inhibition of the activity of fused transcription factors.

By itself, TEV protease was unable to activate reporter gene transcription (1unit: transformation 1). Cotransformation of the plasmid encoding TEV protease with the plasmid encoding the substrate protein lacking a TEV protease cleavage site (HMG-Gal-mVP) did not 10 result in activation of the reporter gene, indicating that there were no sequences within HMG-Gal-mVP capable of acting as substrates for TEV protease (6 units: transformation 6). By contrast, cotransformation of the plasmid encoding TEV protease with the plasmid encoding the substrate protein containing a TEV protease cleavage site (HMG-tev-Gal-mVP) resulted in a strong activation of reporter gene output (8506 units: transformation 7). The reporter gene 15 activity in this experiment was of a similar level to that observed with the activators Gal-mVP and tev-Gal-mVP. Although the activity observed with Gal-mVP appears higher than that obtained with tev-Gal-mVP, the variation in this activity was very high. We also observed that cultures of NL Y2::185 containing Gal-mVP grew very slowly. This could be attributable to a nonspecific inhibition of gene transcription by the strong activation domain mVP 20 ("squelching": see Gill and Ptashne (1988)). However, cultures of NL Y2::185 containing tev-Gal-mVP (which possesses the same transcription activation domain) or the combination of HMG-tev-Gal-mVP and TEV protease grew as well as the host strain itself. For the purposes of this experiment the comparison of the reporter gene activity obtained with HMG-tev-Gal-mVP and TEV protease (transformation 7) with that obtained with tev-Gal-mVP 25 (transformation 3) shows that TEV protease expression restores reporter gene expression fully.

These results demonstrate that (1) the HMG domain inhibits transcription factor function whereas the protease cleavage site does not, and (2) using a transcription factor inhibited by fusion to the HMG domain, reporter gene activation can be obtained by a 30 protease, but only if the protease cleavage site is present within the transcription factor fusion. Thus in the cell generated in transformation 7, the equivalent of the cell depicted on the right

hand side of Figure 1, reporter gene activity is dependent both upon the presence of the protease and the presence of a protease cleavage site. The utility of this system to study the protease and the cleavage site will be enlarged upon in Examples 2 and 3. We note here that the system provides a very simple output for protease activity (blue colour on X-Gal indicator 5 plates), and that using yeast as a host cell provides the ability to screen many thousands of colonies simultaneously.

The true level of stimulation by TEV protease expression is difficult to measure because the activity of the reporter gene in the presence of the precursor HMG-tev-Gal-mVP is very low. Comparison of transformations 5 and 7 indicates that the level of reporter gene 10 stimulation by the protease is at least 700 fold. The level of reporter gene stimulation and the absolute level of target gene expression in the presence of protease may be manipulated according to the choice of components used in the system. Thus the use of weaker transcription activation domains in place of mVP will result in a lower absolute level of target gene expression. Alteration of the structure of the promoter controlling the target gene will 15 also affect the levels of expression that may be achieved. In general the inclusion of more binding sites for the released transcription factor, and the placing of these sites closer to TATA box, will result in higher levels of protease-induced target gene transcription (see Ptashne (1988) for parameters which affect transcription in eukaryotes).

The incorporation of such a system into a cell type allows the regulation of target 20 genes by the expression of a protease. A transcription factor fusion would be expressed in a cell. A target gene containing binding sites for the transcription factor would also be introduced into the cell. By expression of the protease capable of cleaving the transcription factor precursor, expression of the target gene would be obtained. One way to use such a system would be to regulate desirable genes; the system may also be used as a method of 25 amplifying another gene switch. The first switch would turn on the gene encoding the protease, which would then activate the target gene of the transcription factor.

Many viruses, including plant pathogens such as the tobacco etch virus, from which the TEV protease comes, and retroviruses, such as the HIV virus, are dependent upon the activity of a virally encoded protease for viral propagation. Two genes could be incorporated 30 into the cells of an organism. One gene would express a transcription factor fusion as described in this example, but having the TEV protease site replaced by a cleavage site for the

- 25 -

virally encoded protease. The other gene would be a toxic gene under the control of a promoter containing binding sites for the transcription factor. The cells of the organism would not be affected by the presence of these genes. However, if the virus were to infect any of these cells, the expression of the virally-encoded protease would result in cleavage of the 5 transcription factor precursor, release of transcription factor, activation of the toxic gene and consequent cell death. in this way it may be possible to kill the cell before mature virions are produced from infection and stop the virus from propagating itself.

The system disclosed here provides a method to clone genes encoding proteases. If the cleavage site for a protease is known, but the protease itself is not known, a cell may 10 configured in which a transcription factor precursor containing the cleavage site is expressed, together with a reporter gene as a target. A library of DNA sequences would be placed in an expression vector and this library transformed or transfected into the cell type. Cells which show activation of the reporter gene may contain a gene encoding a protease which acts on the cleavage site. This gene may be recovered and sequenced in order to deduce the sequence of 15 the protease. The library of sequences may consist of cDNA sequences or of genomic fragments. Optionally the library would be constructed in such a way as to favour the intracellular expression of encoded proteins.

The system described in this example may be used to screen for modulators of proteases by the identification of compounds which affect the level of reporter gene induction. 20 A particular advantage of yeast cells is the ease with which encoded libraries may be screened. Thus DNA encoding a library of peptides could be introduced into cells containing a system in which a protease is activating a reporter gene. Cells in which an increase or a decrease in the reporter gene expression occurs would be identified and the modulating peptide identified by recovery and sequencing of the gene which encodes the peptide. The 25 peptide libraries used could be essentially random, or they could be based upon partial randomisation of known protease inhibitors.

Example 2: Identifying protease substrates**Introduction**

5 The protease system described in Example 1 can be used to study protease specificity. In this example we show that the specificity of the protease as assessed in this cell-based system agrees with that observed *in vitro*. The TEV cleavage site used in Example 1 (referred to as the "wild type" site) is a naturally occurring TEV protease site which also conforms to the consensus site (see Table 1 of Dougherty *et al.*, 1988). One method to assess the 10 contribution of amino acid residues to an event such as a protein:protein interaction or enzyme catalysis is to perform an alanine scan (Cunningham and Wells, 1989). In an alanine scan, each amino acid in a region of interest is replaced with alanine, and the effect of this substitution is assessed on the event being studied. Replacement of an amino acid with alanine effectively removes the side-chain of that amino acid. We describe in this example an 15 alanine scan of the TEV protease cleavage site, and show that the results obtained are consistent with the known consensus sequence for TEV protease

Methods

20 Yeast strains and methods are as described in Example 1

Plasmids

LDD882 (see Example 1) contains a naturally occurring cleavage site for TEV protease 25 (ENLYFQS). This is referred to as the "wild type" cleavage site. Cleavage occurs between the glutamine and serine residues and we number residues within this site relative to the cleavage point; thus Q is -1 and S is +1. Within this sequence are sites for the restriction endonucleases *Spe I* and *Nco I*. These restriction sites are unique within the plasmid LDD882. Plasmids encoding variants of the cleavage site which differed at a single residue from the 30 wild type site were constructed by ligating oligonucleotides into LDD882 cleaved with *Spe I*

and *Nco I*. The following variants were constructed by inserting oligonucleotides containing the triplet GCT (encoding alanine) at the appropriate position:

LDD887; glutamate at -6 changed to alanine

5

LDD896; asparagine at -5 changed to alanine

LDD888; leucine at -4 changed to alanine

10 LDD897; tyrosine at -3 changed to alanine

LDD1102; phenylalanine at -2 changed to alanine

LDD889; glutamine at -1 changed to alanine

15

LDD890; serine at position +1 changed to alanine

Results and Discussion

20 The results of the alanine scan are presented in Table 3. We find that some alanine substitutions have very little effect on the reporter gene output, whereas some have a dramatic effect on the reporter gene output. These effects were scored subjectively on a scale of 0 to 4 on the indicator plates (0 indicating no blue colour, 4 being the colour observed with TEV protease and the precursor encoded by LDD882). Some heterogeneity was observed in the 25 blue colour of colonies in each the transformations. The scores represent the average colour of colonies. The reporter gene measurements were made on cultures grown from mixtures of approximately twenty colonies, in order to average the effects of colony variation. The reporter gene activity measurements are roughly in accord with the scores assigned on indicator plates, suggesting that the scoring system is a valid way to assess reporter gene 30 activity. Some amino acid changes in the alanine scan in a cleavage site give rise to an intermediate reporter gene output. We interpret these intermediate effects as indicating the

partial cleavage of the precursor and release of an intermediate quantity of Gal4. Intermediate effects therefore derive from (in this example) cleavage sites which are not as good substrates as the wild type site. These intermediate effects are important since they allow a "weighting" to be assigned to cleavage sites (see below).

5 Substitution of alanine at positions -6, -5, -2 and +1 has little effect. At positions -3 and -4 there is a significant reduction in reporter gene activity, and at -1 a complete loss of reporter gene activity. The consensus cleavage site for TEV protease is E-x-hy-Y-x-Q-(S/G), where x denotes any amino acid and hy denotes a hydrophobic amino acid. Replacement of the glutamine by alanine abolishes reporter gene activity. This is consistent with the strong 10 conservation of glutamine at position -1 of the cleavage sites for TEV protease and for the cleavage sites of related viruses. Positions -3 is preferentially tyrosine. When this amino acid was changed to alanine an intermediate phenotype was observed (scored as 2 out of 4 by blue colour, measured as 2926 units). This suggests that tyrosine contributes to the consensus site but is not as important as the glutamine at position -1. Dougherty *et al.* (1988) also found an 15 intermediate effect when they changed the tyrosine to alanine in an *in vitro* reaction. Position -4 is usually leucine, valine or isoleucine, and alteration of this amino acid to an alanine also results in an intermediate phenotype. In contrast positions -2 (phenylalanine) and -5 (asparagine) are not conserved, and alteration of these residues to alanine has little effect on the reporter gene activity, indicating that the enzyme is as active on these substrates as it is on 20 the wild type substrate. The glutamate residue at position -6 was predicted to be important since it is highly conserved; however alteration of this residue to alanine has little effect. This change was not made by Dougherty *et al.* (1988), so no comparison with an *in vitro* result can not be made. Although position +1 is normally glycine or serine, Dougherty *et al.* (1988) show that a number of different amino acids can be tolerated at this position (though they did 25 not examine alanine), so it is not surprising that an alanine substitution has no effect on the reporter gene activity. In general (and particularly for positions -5 to -1) the results of the alanine scan are as might be predicted from the known consensus sequence for the TEV cleavage site. This suggests that the protease reporter system is a valid approach to the analysis of protease specificity. We also note that the intermediate levels of reporter gene 30 activation obtained with some of the cleavage site point mutants suggest that if this system

were to be used as a gene switch, then one way to modulate the strength of the switch would be to vary the peptide sequence of the protease cleavage site.

The reporter gene system may be used to study protease cleavage sites in two ways. Firstly, as described here, a sequence known to contain a protease cleavage site can be used as 5 a starting point. Defined changes are then introduced into this site and the effects of these changes on reporter gene output are observed. There is no need to recover the sequences encoding the cleavage sites, because these are characterised before being used in the experiment. Secondly, a library of sequences may be created as part of precursor protein. The protease would then be used to select sequences from this library. In this approach it would be 10 necessary to recover the DNA encoding the cleavage site in order to deduce the amino acid sequence of the site. The DNA encoding the cleavage site sequences that have been identified by reporter gene activation could be recovered by amplification through the polymerase chain reaction, or through recovery of the plasmid by transformation of *E. coli* with extracts of the cells which gave a positive output.

15 To determine a cleavage site for an protease, a peptide library could be placed between the membrane anchor and the transcription factor, encoded by partially randomised oligonucleotides. This would be introduced into cells with a reporter gene and the protease of interest so that only one (or very few) members of the library would be present in each cell. Cells in which reporter gene output is stimulated would be identified. The extent of reporter 20 gene activation would be scored for these cells, and the cleavage site present in the precursor deduced from the DNA encoding the precursor. Note that it would be important to confirm that any reporter gene activation is protease-dependent, and not due to some endogenous activity which is independent of the protease of interest. The information about the cleavage sites and the score of these sites would then be combined to generate a consensus sequence for 25 the protease under study. Several methods could be employed to deduce this consensus. The simplest approach would involve two steps. Firstly the sequences obtained would be aligned. Secondly, in the most favourable alignment, a consensus would be deduced to which each individual sequence contributes according to the score of that sequence. Thus better cleavage sites (which are associated with a higher reporter gene activity) would comprise a larger 30 component of the consensus sequence. This consensus site could then be used to search protein sequence databases to identify proteins which may be substrates for the protease.

The identification of a consensus cleavage site can also be used in inhibitor design. The peptide identified as a cleavage site may be directly convertible into an inhibitor. For example, Rano *et al.* (1997) identified a cleavage site for interleukin-1 β converting enzyme and found that an aldehyde version of this peptide was a very potent inhibitor of the enzyme.

5 Alternatively, the peptide may be a starting point for the design of a peptidomimetic (reviewed by Giannis and Rubsam, 1997).

In general many proteases will be able to function in the context of the system we have described. It will be necessary to remove sequences which target the protease to cellular compartments or the cell surface. It may also be necessary to remove inhibitory sequences 10 that keep proteases in an inactive "pro" form. For example, the metalloproteinase TNF α converting enzyme (TACE) is an enzyme which is extracellular, cell-surface anchored and activated from a pro form during passage through the secretory pathway (Black *et al.*, 1997, Moss *et al.*, 1977). To prevent entry into the secretory pathway, the signal sequence (amino acids 1-17) should be removed. Amino acids 19-214 constitute a "pro" region which may 15 optionally be removed. The catalytic domain (215-473) may be expressed alone, or with some of the other domains, including the disintegrin domain (474-572) and also the transmembrane domain (672-694).

Example 3: Altering protease specificity

20

Introduction

Proteases are not generally completely specific for one substrate. Instead they will cleave, with varying efficiency, a set of related sequences. In considering how protease 25 specificity may be altered we may imagine the scenarios outlined in Table 4. A "parental protease" will have a profile of activity. It will be active against a wild type cleavage site and perhaps one variant of this wild type sequence (variant cleavage site 1) but not against another variant of this wild type sequence (variant cleavage site 2). This profile can be described as a "wild type" profile. Through mutagenesis protocols it may be possible to select for a 30 derivative of this protease (derivative 1) which can now act on variant 2 as well as variant 1 and the wild type site. Effectively this protease can recognise additional substrates, and its

profile has been "relaxed". In contrast, it may be possible to select for a derivative (derivative 2) which can only act on the wild type cleavage site. Since this derivative has lost the ability to cleave at variant cleavage site 1, it is described as having a "restricted" specificity. Finally a protease derivative which has lost the ability to recognise the wild type cleavage site, but 5 which can now recognise a cleavage site which it was formerly unable to recognise may be described as having an "altered" specificity. If this derivative still retains activity towards variant cleavage site 1, then its profile will overlap with the parental protease, whereas if this protease does not show activity towards variant cleavage site 1, then the specificity will not overlap.

10 Since, in the genetic system described in Example 1, reporter gene activity is dependent upon the presence of both protease and substrate, the system can be used to generate and study protein mutants with changed specificity. In this example we demonstrate this effect by describing two deletion versions of the TEV protease, one of which has a relaxed specificity and the other of which has a tightened specificity.

15

Methods

Yeast strains and methods are as described in Example 1.

Plasmids

20 LDD208 (see Example 1) contains the coding region of the TEV protease (amino acids 2051 to 2279). Within this plasmid there is a unique *Not I* restriction endonuclease site before the translation start codon, a unique *Spe I* site encompassing amino acids 2165-2166, and a unique *Eco RI* site after the translation stop. Deletion variants of the TEV protease were constructed using the the polymerase chain reaction combined with replacement of regions 25 between these sites.

LDD859 contains a deletion of ten amino acids (amino acids 2155-2164) in the centre of the protease, adjacent to the region encoding the *Spe I* site. This deletion, referred to as TEV protease[Δ I-10] (for internal deletion of ten amino acids), was constructed by amplifying 30 from LDD208 a region encoding amino acids 2051 to 2155 using the oligonucleotides:

- 32 -

CCAGGCGGCCGCCACCATGATATCGAGCACCATTGT

CATGACTAGTTGGAAGTTGGTTGTCAC

5 The DNA fragment obtained was digested with *Not I* and *Spe I* and ligated into LDD208 cleaved with *Not I* and *Spe I* to obtain LDD859.

LDD855 contains a deletion of 16 amino acids (amino acids 2264- 2279) at the carboxy terminus of the TEV protease. This deletion, referred to as TEV protease [ΔC-16] (for 10 carboxy-terminal deletion of sixteen amino acids) was constructed by amplifying from LDD208 a region encoding amino acids 2051 to 2264 using the oligonucleotides:

CCAGGCGGCCGCCACCATGATATCGAGCACCATTGT

15 CATGGAATTCTTACTGAAAAGGCTTCAGG

The DNA fragment obtained was digested with *Not I* and *Eco RI* and ligated into LDD208 cleaved with *Not I* and *Eco RI* to obtain LDD 855.

20 Results and Discussion

A set of amino and carboxy terminal deletions of TEV protease was made to examine the sequence requirements of the protease for activation of the reporter gene. It was found that removal of ten or more amino acids from the amino terminus of the protease encoded by 25 plasmid LDD208 caused a complete loss of activity against the wild type cleavage site (data not shown), whereas sixteen amino acids could be removed from the carboxy terminus without loss of activity. An internal deletion of ten amino acids also retained activity against the wild type cleavage site. The carboxy terminal deletion (TEV protease[ΔC-16]) and the internal deletion (TEV protease[ΔI-10]) were tested against a variety of cleavage sites with 30 single amino acid changes. These deletions were found to have a dramatic affect on the specificity of the protease. In this example we illustrate this effect by using three of the single

amino acids changes from the alanine scan (Table 5). The full length protease strongly activates a reporter gene in the presence of a transcription factor precursor containing a wild type cleavage site. It is moderately active against the L(-4)A and Y(-3)A cleavage sites, and inactive on the Q(-1)A cleavage site. The C terminal deletion has a profile which is relaxed 5 compared to the wild type protease. It is active against the wild type site, almost as active against L(-4)A and Y(-3)A sites as against the wild type site, and, significantly active against the Q(-1)A site. This deletion version of the protease has gained the ability to act on the Q(-1)A site. In contrast, the internal deletion, compared to full length protease, has a restricted specificity. Although it is strongly active on the wild type site, it does not act on 10 any of the other three sites.

It is possible that some of the effects observed are due to different levels of expression or different stabilities of the proteases. However, the ability of the carboxy terminal deletion to cleave at Q(-1)A is so striking that it seems unlikely that would be due to a substantially higher level of expression of this deletion. Similarly it would be unlikely that the complete 15 loss of ability of the internal deletion to act on the point mutants would be attributable entirely to a lower level of expression. Regardless of whether expression levels contribute to the effects observed, it is certainly clear that, functionally, the specificity of the TEV protease has been altered. The internal deletion is, in this setting, a highly specific protease, whereas the carboxy terminal deletion is a protease with a wider substrate specificity. Such proteases 20 may in themselves have utility. If the system as depicted in Figure 1 is to be used as a gene switch, it may be desirable to use a protease which is very specific, so that there is a minimal chance that other proteins will be cleaved within the cell. In this setting, the protease with the restricted profile would be appropriate. The carboxy terminal deletion displays a relaxed profile. A protease with a relaxed profile may be of use in applications where it is desirable to 25 maintain the diversity of a set of proteins or peptides. We show here that the carboxy terminal deletion has relaxed specificity for changes in the -1 position of the TEV protease cleavage site. It also displays a relaxed specificity for changes at the +1 position (data not shown). The +1 position of the cleavage site is also the amino terminal residue of the released protein. Imagine that, rather than releasing a transcription factor by protease cleavage, a library of 30 random peptides is being released by cleavage with the protease. If a restricted specificity protease is used then only those peptides which have certain amino acids at the amino

terminus will be released. However, if a protease with a relaxed specificity for position +1 of its cleavage site is employed, then the peptides which are released will have a greater diversity of residues at the amino terminal position.

This example shows that it is possible to detect and characterise proteases with an 5 altered profile of activity. The proteases used in this example were selected for study on the basis that they were active against the wild type cleavage site. The approach can be used to design novel specificity profiles of proteases if the generation of protease variants is combined with a selection system based on reporter gene output. A library of genes encoding protease variants could be generated by a number of methods, including chemical mutagenesis of the 10 gene and DNA amplification strategies which introduce mutations or which allow mixing of sequences from homologous genes (Enell, L.P. and Loeb, L.A. (1998) *Nature Biotech.* 16, 234-235.

This library may then be transformed into cells which contain substrate proteins which contain a certain cleavage site. The proteases that can act on this cleavage site will switch on 15 the reporter gene, enabling the cells which contain these proteases to be identified and the genes encoding the proteases to be recovered. A novel protease obtained in this manner can then be tested against a panel of substrates to determine its specificity profile, in particular to determine whether it retains the ability to act on the wild type substrate (in which case the specificity will be "relaxed") or whether it has lost the ability to act on the wild type substrate 20 (in which case the specificity will be "altered"). By following a series of such mutagenesis and selection steps, it would be possible to evolve the specificity of a protease. The directed evolution of catalytic activities is useful where rational design approaches are limited (Kuchner and Arnold, 1997)

References

Allison R., Johnson R.E., Dougherty W.G. (1986) *Virology* 154, 9-20.

5 Ausabel, F.M., Brent, R., Kingston, R.E., Moore, D.D., Seidman, J.G., Smith, J.A. and Struhl, K. (1993) *Current protocols in molecular biology*. Chapter 13 (Wiley).

Basson, M.E., Thorsness, M., Finer-Moore, J., Stroud, R.M. and Rine, J. (1988) *Mol. Cell Biol.* 8, 3797-3808.

10 Baum, E.Z, Beberitz, G.A. and Gluzman, Y. (1990). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 87, 10023-10027.

Berman, J., Green, M., Sugg, E., Anderegg, R., Millington, D.S., Norwood, D.L., McGeehan, 15 J. And Wiseman, J. (1992) *J. Biol. Chem.* 267, 1434-1437.

Black, R.A. *et al.* (1997) *Nature* 385, 729-733

Blair, W., S., Bogerd, H.P., Madore, S.J. and Cullen, B.R. (1994) *Mol. Cell Biol.* 14, 7226- 20 7234.

Blobel, C.P. (1997) *Cell* 90, 589-592.

Brent, R. and Ptashne, M. (1981) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 78, 4204-4208.

25 Carrington, J.C. and Dougherty, W.G. (1987) *J. Virol.* 61, 2540-2548.

Carrington, J.C. and Dougherty, W.G. (1988) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 85, 3391-3395.

30 Casadaban *et al.* (1983) *Meth. Enzymol.* (1983) 100, 293-308.

- 36 -

Chalfie, M., Tu, Y., Euskirschen, G., Ward, W.W. and Prasher, D.C. (1994) *Science* 263, 802-805.

Colicelli, J. Birchmeier, C., Michaeli, T., O'Neill, K., Riggs, M. and Wigler, M. (1989) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86, 3599-3603.

Craik, C.S., Largman, C., Fletcher, T., Rocznak, S., Barr, P.J., Fletterick, R. and Rutter, W.J. (1985). *Science* 228, 291-297.

10 Cress, W.D. and Triezenberg, S.J. (1991) *Science* 251, 87-90

Cunningham, B.C. and Wells, J.A. (1989) *Science* 244, 1081-1085.

DasMahapatra, B., DiDomenico, B., Dwyer, S., Ma, J., Sadowski, I. and Schwartz, J. (1992).
15 Proc. natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 89, 4159-4162.

De Wet, J.R., Wood, K.V., Helinski, D.R. and DeLuca, M. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 7870-7873.

20 Dixon, G., Scanlon, D., Cooper, S. and Broad, P. (1997). *J. Ster. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* in press.

Doolittle, R.F. (1997) *Nature* 392, 339-342.

Dougherty, W.G. and Carrington, J.C. (1988) *Ann. Rev. Phytopathol.* 26, 123-143
25

Dougherty, W.G. and Semler, B.L. (1993) *Microbiol. Rev.* 57, 781-822.

Dougherty, W.G., Carrington, J.C., Cary, S.M. and Parks, T.D. (1988) *EMBO J.* 7, 1281-1287.
30

Fields, S. and Song, O. (1989) *Nature* 340, 245-246.

Giannis, A. and Rubsam, F. (1997) *Adv. Drug Res.* 29, 1-78.

Gill, G. and Ptashne, M. (1988) *Nature* 334, 721-724.

5 Goffeau, A., Barrell, B.G., Bussey, H., Davis, R.W., Dujon, B., Feldmann, H., Galibert, F., Hoheisel, J.D., Jacq, C., Johnston, M., Louis, E.J., Mewes, H.W., Murakami, Y., Philippson, P., Tettelin, H. and Oliver, S.G. (1996) *Science* 274, 562-567.

Gorman, C., Howard, B. And Reeves, R. (1983) *Nuc. Acids. Res.* 11, 6731.

10 Graham, L.D., Haggett, K.D., Jennings, P.A., Le Broque, D.S. and Whittaker, R.G. (1993) *Biochemistry* 32, 6250-6258.

Hampton, R., Dimster-Denk, D. and Rine, J. (1996a) *Trends in Biochem. Sci.* 21, 140-145

15 Hampton, R.Y., Koning, A., Wright, R. and Rine, J. (1996b) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 93, 828-833

Hancock, J.F., Cadwallader, K., Paterson, H. and Marshall, C.J. (1991) *EMBO J* 10, 4033-4039.

Harrison, S.C. (1991) *Nature* 353, 715-719.

20 Hirowatari, Y., Hijikata, M. and Shimotohno, K. (1995) *Anal. Biochem.* 225, 113-120.

Ito, H., Fukada, K., Murata, K. and Kimura, A. (1983) *J. Bacteriol.* 153, 163

25 Keegan, L., Gill, G., and Ptashne, M. (1986) *Science* 231, 699-704.

30 Khouri, H.E., Vernet, T., Menard, R., Parlati, F., Laflamme, P., Tessier, D.C., Gour-Salin, B., Thomas, D.Y. and Storer, A. (1991) *Biochemistry* 30, 8929-8936.

- 38 -

Kuchner, O. and Arnold, F.H. (1997) *TibTech* 15, 523-530.

Laughon, A. and Gesteland, R.F. (1984) *Mol. Cell Biol.* 4, 260-267.

5 Lehming, N., Thanos, D., Brickman, J., Ma, J., Maniatis, T. and Ptashne, M. (1994) *Nature* 371, 175-179.

Leis, J.P. and Cameron, C.E. (1994) *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 5, 403-408.

10 Ma, J. and Ptashne, M. (1987a) *Cell* 48, 847-853.

Ma, J. and Ptashne, M. (1987b) *Cell* 51, 113-119.

Marcos, J.F. and Beachy, R.N. (1994) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 24, 495-503.

15 Materson, B.J. and Preston, R.A. (1994) *Arch. Intern. Med.* 154, 513-523.

Matthews, D.J. and Wells, J.A. (1993) *Science* 260, 1113-1117.

20 McCall, J.O., Kadam, S. and Katz, L. (1994) *Bio/Technology* 12, 1012-1016.

Mondigler, M. and Ehrmann, M. (1996) *J. Bacteriol.* 178, 2986-2988.

Moss, M.L. and 25 others (1997) *Nature* 385, 733-736.

25 Pahl, H.L. and Baeuerle, P.A. (1996) *Curr. Opin. Cell. Biol.* 8, 340-347.

Pellman, D., Garber, E.A., Cross, F.R. and Hanafusa, H. (1985) *Nature* 314, 374-377.

30 Petithory, J.R., Masiarz, F.R., Kirsch, J.F., Santi, D.V. and Malcolm, B.A. (1991) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88, 11510-11514.

- 39 -

Pinilla, C., Appel, J.R., Blanc, P. and Houghten, R.A. (1992) *Biotechniques* 13, 901-905.

Ptashne, M. (1988) *Nature* 335, 683-689.

5 Rano, T.A., Timkey, T., Peterson, E.P., Rotonda, J., Nicholson, D.W., Becker, J.W., Chapman, K.T. and Thornberry, N.A. (1997) *Chem. Biol.* 4, 149-155.

Richman, D. (1996) *Science* 272, 1886-1888.

10 Sadowski, I., Ma, J., Triezenberg, S. and Ptashne, M. (1988) *Nature* 335, 563-564.

Sakai, J., Duncan, E.A., Rawson, R.B., Hua, X., Brown, M.S. and Goldstein, J.L. (1996) *Cell* 85, 1037-1046.

15 Sambrook J., Fritsch, E.F. and Maniatis, T. (1989) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, 2nd Edition. Cold Spring Harbor Press

Seipel, K., Georgiev, O. and Schaffner, W. (1992) *EMBO J.* 11, 4961-4968.

20 Sengstag, C., Stirling, C., Schekman, R. and Rine, J. (1990) *Mol. Cell Biol.* 10, 672-680.

Sherman, F. (1991) *Meth. Enzymol.* 194, 3-21.

Shockett, P.E. and Schatz, D.G. (1996) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 93, 5173-5176.

25 Sices, H.J. and Kristie, T.M. (1998) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95, 2828-2833.

Sidhu, S.S. and Borgford, T.J. (1996) *J. Mol. Biol.* 257, 233-245.

30 Sikorski, R.S. and Hieter, P. (1989) *Genetics* 122, 19-27.

Smith, T.A. and Kohorn, B.D. (1991) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 88, 5159-5162.

- 40 -

Smith, M.M., Shi, L. and Navre, M. (1995) *J. Biol. Chem.* 270, 6440-6449.

Thornberry, N.A., Rano, T.A., Peterson, E.P., Rasper, D.M., Timkey, T., Garcia-Calvo, M.,
Houtzager, V.M., Nordstrom, P.A., Roy, S., Vaillancourt, J.P., Chapman, K.T. and Nicholson,
5 D.W. (1997) *J. Biol. Chem.* 272, 17907-17911.

Trezenberg, S.J., Kingsbury, R.C. and McKnight, S.L. (1988) *Genes Dev.* 2, 718-729.

Triezenberg, S.J. (1995) *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 5, 190-196.

10

Venekei, I., Szilagyi, L., Graf, L. and Rutter, W.J. (1996) *FEBS Lett.* 379, 143-147.

Wang, X., Sato, R., Brown, M.S., Hua, X. and Goldstein, J.L. (1994) *Cell* 77, 53-62.

15 Zlokarnik, G., Negulescu, P.A., Knapp, T.E., Mere, L., Burres, N., Feng, L., Whitney, M.,
Roemer, K. And Tsien, R. (1998) *Science* 279, 84-88.

- 41 -

Table 1

| Plasmid number | Marker | Promoter | Protein |
|----------------|--------|----------|-----------------|
| | | | |
| LDL208 | HIS3 | ADH1 | TEV protease |
| | | | |
| LDL883 | LEU2 | ACT1 | Gal-mVP |
| LDL1123 | LEU2 | ACT1 | tev-Gal-mVP |
| LDL1117 | LEU2 | ACT1 | HMG-Gal-mVP |
| LDL882 | LEU2 | ACT1 | HMG-tev-Gal-mVP |

Table 2

| Transformation | Proteins | Plasmids | Selection | Score | Reporter Activity |
|----------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-------|-------------------|
| (Strain alone) | | | | | |
| 1 | TEV protease | LDD208 | UH- | 0 | 1 ± 1 |
| 2 | Gal-mVP | LDD883 | UL- | 4 | 13061 ± 4562 |
| 3 | tev-Gal-mVP | LDD1123 | UL- | 4 | 10431 ± 1084 |
| 4 | HMG-Gal-mVP | LDD1117 | UL- | 0 | 5 ± 3 |
| 5 | HMG-tev-Gal-mVP | LDD882 | UL- | 0 | 11 ± 3 |
| 6 | TEV protease + HMG-Gal-mVP | LDD208 + LDD1123 | UHL- | 0 | 6 ± 2 |
| 7 | TEV protease + HMG-tev-Gal-mVP | LDD208 + LDD882 | UHL- | 4 | 8506 ± 1920 |

Table 3

| Transformation | Plasmid | Protein | Cleavage site | Score | Reporter activity |
|----------------|---------|-------------------------|---------------|-------|-------------------|
| 1 | LDD882 | HMG-tev-Ga1-mVP | ENLYFQ S | 4 | 8506 ± 1920 |
| 2 | LDD887 | HMG-tev[E(-6)A]-Ga1-mVP | ANLYFQ S | 3 | 8245 ± 277 |
| 3 | LDD896 | HMG-tev[N(-5)A]-Ga1-mVP | EALYFQ S | 4 | 8498 ± 502 |
| 4 | LDD888 | HMG-tev[L(-4)A]-Ga1-mVP | ENAYFQ S | 2 | 1665 ± 141 |
| 5 | LDD897 | HMG-tev[Y(-3)A]-Ga1-mVP | ENLAQFQ S | 2 | 2926 ± 95 |
| 6 | LDD1102 | HMG-tev[F(-2)A]-Ga1-mVP | ENLYAQ S | 4 | 6620 ± 1187 |
| 7 | LDD889 | HMG-tev[Q(-1)A]-Ga1-mVP | ENLYFA S | 0 | 19 ± 10 |
| 8 | LDD890 | HMG-tev[S(+1)A]-Ga1-mVP | ENLYFQ A | 4 | 13314 ± 838 |

- 44 -

Table 4

| | Parental protease | Protease | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | Protease derivative 1 | Protease derivative 2 | Protease derivative 3 | |
| Cleavage site | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Wild type cleavage site | + | + | + | - | |
| Variant cleavage site 1 | + | + | - | (+/-) | |
| Variant cleavage site 2 | - | + | - | + | |
| | | | | | |
| Profile | Wild type | Relaxed | Restricted | Altered | |

- 45 -

Table 5

| Cleavage site | Score | Protease | | TEV protease[Δ C-16] | TEV protease[Δ I-10] |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | TEV protease | LDD208 | LDD855 | LDD859 |
| Wild type site | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| LDD882 | Reporter Activity | 8506 \pm 1920 | 9483 \pm 692 | 9596 \pm 458 | |
| L(-4)A | Score | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| LDD888 | Reporter Activity | 1665 \pm 141 | 9601 \pm 555 | 24 \pm 6 | |
| Y(-3)A | Score | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| LDD897 | Reporter Activity | 2926 \pm 95 | 7547 \pm 353 | 11 \pm 10 | |
| Q(-1)A | Score | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| LDD889 | Reporter Activity | 19 \pm 10 | 2365 \pm 118 | 17 \pm 3 | |

CLAIMS

1. A heterologous cell which comprises:

5 (i) a transcription factor precursor which comprises a transcription factor linked to a membrane anchoring domain via a protease cleavage site, in which the membrane anchoring domain and protease cleavage site are not derived from the same protein;

10 (ii) a protease which recognises the protease cleavage site in the transcription factor precursor; and

15 (iii) a target gene under the control of the transcription factor, wherein if cleavage of the protease cleavage site by the protease is allowed to occur subsequent release of the transcription factor enhances expression of the target gene.

2. A heterologous cell as claimed in claim 1 wherein the membrane anchoring domain is part of the N terminal sequence of the enzyme HMG-CoA reductase.

3. A heterologous cell as claimed in claim 1 selected from a mammalian cell type or a 20 yeast cell type.

4. Use of a heterologous cell as claimed in claim 1 as a gene switch.

5. Use of a heterologous cell as claimed in claim 1 to determine the substrate peptide 25 sequence of a protease by determining whether there is expression of the target gene within a number of heterologous cells wherein within the number of heterologous cells a variety of different protease cleavage site sequences are coded between the transcription factor and membrane anchoring domain and therefore expression of the target gene indicates that the protease cleavage site is a substrate peptide sequence of the protease.

30 6. Use of a heterologous cell as claimed in claim 1 to alter the specificity of a protease by determining whether there is expression of the target gene within a heterologous cell

containing a protease with a different peptide sequence than the normal protease and therefore expression of the target gene indicates that the protease has successfully cleaved the cleavage site.

5 7. A gene switch mechanism comprising:

- (i) a transcription factor linked to a membrane anchoring domain via a protease cleavage site;
- (ii) a protease which recognises the protease cleavage site in the transcription factor precursor; and
- (iii) a gene placed under the control of the transcription factor, whereby enhanced expression of the gene occurs after cleavage of the protease cleavage site by the protease and thereby expression of the gene may be modulated by directly or indirectly affecting the activity or expression of the protease.

15

8. A gene switch amplification mechanism comprising:

- (i) a transcription factor linked to a membrane anchoring domain via a protease cleavage site;
- (ii) a protease which recognises the protease cleavage site in the transcription factor precursor wherein expression of the protease gene is under the control of a regulatable gene switch; and
- (iii) a gene placed under the control of the transcription factor, whereby enhanced expression of the gene occurs after expression of the protease gene is turned on by cleavage of the protease cleavage site by the protease to release the gene transcription factor.

25 9. A method for identifying a substrate peptide sequence of a protease, which method comprises:

30

- 48 -

(i) creating a number of differing gene constructs which code for a transcription factor precursor, which comprises a transcription factor linked to a membrane anchoring domain via a putative protease cleavage site wherein different putative protease cleavage sites are coded within the different gene constructs;

5

(ii) introducing the gene construct into a cell which contain the protease and a target gene under the control of the transcription factor; and

10

(iii) in each cell detecting whether the protease has cleaved the putative protease cleavage site to release the transcription factor by measuring expression of the target gene.

10. A method for altering the specificity of a protease which method comprises:

15

(i) creating a protease gene construct with a different coding variation from the wild type protease peptide sequence;

20

(ii) introducing the protease gene construct into a cell containing a target gene under the control of a transcription factor and a transcription factor precursor which comprises the transcription factor linked to a membrane anchoring domain via a protease cleavage site; and

25

(iii) detecting in each cell whether the altered protease has cleaved the protease cleavage site to release the transcription factor by measuring expression of the target gene.

11. A method for discovering protease genes, which method comprises:

30

(i) creating a gene construct which codes for a transcription factor precursor, which comprises a transcription factor linked to a membrane anchoring domain via a protease cleavage site;

- 49 -

- (ii) introducing the gene construct into a range of different cells which contain a target gene under the control of the transcription factor;
- 5 (iii) detecting in which cells the protease is expressed by determining whether any protease has cleaved the protease cleavage site to release the transcription factor by measuring expression of the target gene; and
- (iv) recovering and sequencing the protease gene from cells which express the target gene.

10 12. A method as claimed in any claim from 8 to 10 wherein the membrane anchoring domain and protease cleavage site are not derived from the same protein.




Fig.1

LDD883**Gal-mVP****M-[Activator]****LDD1123****tev-Gal-mVP****MLQTSTENLYFQSGTHG-[Activator]****LDD1117****HMG-Gal-mVP****[HMG]-LQTSTGTHG-[Activator]****LDD882****HMG-tev-Gal-mVP****[HMG]-LQTSTENLYFQSGTHG-[Activator]****"Activator" is:****[Gal4(2-147)]-PRVELDDFDLDMGLDDFDLDMGLGVDTS****Fig. 2**

LDD208 **TEV protease**

M- 2051-2279

LDD855 **TEV protease [ΔC-16]**

M- 2051-2263

LDD859 **TEV protease [ΔI-10]**


M- 2051-2155  2166-2279

Fig. 3